

Maureen Wallymahmed, Nurse Consultant, Liverpool

o you ever w o n d e r if other people are doing the same as you and that you might just be reinventing the wheel?

Now is your chance to find out by using the Noticeboard section in the *Journal of Diabetes Nursing*. As a member of the journal's editorial board, I know that this feature creates

a welcome opportunity for nurses involved in diabetes care to pose a quick question or share a fleeting thought with other healthcare professionals without having to write a whole article or phone round an array of colleagues.



The idea of Noticeboard is much the same as an internet message board where people can place requests, thoughts, ideas – in fact anything they want to share – as a brief message on this page. We at the journal will

then encourage other readers to comment in the next issue, thereby enhancing ongoing debate and discussion but with minimum effort on the part of participants.

Easy and efficient way to share ideas

The great advantage of the journal's monthly frequency is that we can be reactive to the needs of our readers. We hope that Noticeboard represents an easy and efficient way to share ideas and to receive responses to questions you want answered.

Pinching

Q How many nurses teach people to pinch when injecting, or has the technique changed?

Carol Killgallon,

Practice Nurse, Freezywater Medical Centre, Enfield

A BD Medical produced some guidelines a while ago advising on needle length and whether pinching is required in different size individuals. Although comprehensive, it did make things seem complicated! A pragmatic approach is to advise everyone to 'pinch an inch' for their injections. This small pinch means the individual is holding the skin firmly (to provide a firm base to insert the needle) and also lifts up the subcutaneous fat, reducing the risk of injecting into underlying muscle. Although injecting intramuscularly is highly unlikely for many overweight people with diabetes, pinching the skin is just as effective as not in such individuals so I would still suggest 'pinching for all' to keep things simple.

Iill Hill.

Diabetes Nurse Consultant, Birmingham

Any answers?

Please send any responses to the above or further questions to: Maureen Wallymahmed, Noticeboard, SB Communications Group, 3.05 Enterprise House, 1–2 Hatfields, London SE1 9PG

Tel: 020 7627 1510. Fax: 020 7627 1570. Email: notice@sbcommunicationsgroup.com

Integrated data

Q Is the diabetes register a responsibility of primary care, secondary care or both? If one or the other, presumably an agreement could be made whereby the information can be shared throughout the trust(s) to improve the management of people with diabetes? Or would this be impractical because primary and secondary care would be collecting very different datasets? Does anyone have any information whereby practices have shared their registers with their acute trust?

Name and address withheld

A Recording incidence of diabetes is part of the QOF. So it seems that at the moment a lot of recording is happening in primary care. However, there are no other formal 'rules' about registers of people with diabetes in either primary or secondary care. In fact there is some evidence that people with diabetes are not routinely identified when admitted to hospital for other conditions or procedures. Submissions to the National Diabetes Audit are increasing, linking data from primary and secondary care. This means that information from primary care can be linked with complications information which is recorded in acute trusts.

The point of the current reform of the NHS is to integrate primary and secondary care so that there is joint responsibility for diabetes care across the board. It would make sense, therefore, for information to be shared, even though datasets may be different.

Sally Brooks, National Diabetes Support Team