# Assessing self-efficacy among Jordanians with type 1 diabetes

# Arwa Masadeh, Elham Othman, Aziza Salem, Ali M. Saleh, Basema Nofal, Muhammad W. Darawad

Self-efficacy, an individual's belief in their ability to perform self-care practices, is essential in the effective management of long-term conditions and their complications. There are, however, few studies examining the level of self-efficacy in people with diabetes. This study investigated the level of self-efficacy among people with type 1 diabetes in Jordan, what practices they are most and least confident in performing, and how demographic characteristics affect it. It highlights the importance of self-efficacy in this population, and suggests that efforts to improve it should focus on particular areas.

Self-care practices are essential in the management of diabetes and its complications but are affected by many factors, such as a person's belief in their ability to perform them (ElSayed et al, 2023). This perceived self-efficacy (SE) affects a person's capacity to perform healthy behaviours, so it is a critical determinant for a person's well-being; it reflects their ability to commit and adhere to self-care activities that affect clinical outcomes (Adam and Folds, 2014; Walker et al, 2014). High levels of SE engender a sense of responsibility and a feeling of freedom in performing self-care activities (D'Souza et al, 2017).

From this perspective, it was important to study SE, and its underlying sub-scales, to determine the needs of people with diabetes. Few studies have examined the level of SE among people with diabetes and, in a literature review, no studies were found to have assessed SE by its specific sub-scales among people with type 1 diabetes.

# Aims

This study aimed to investigate the level, specific sub-scales and correlates of self-efficacy among Jordanian people with type 1 diabetes. Specifically, it sought to answer the following:

- What is the level of SE among people with type 1 diabetes in Jordan?
- Which of the SE sub-scales are they most confident and least confident to perform?
- Based on demographic categories, what are the differences in SE among people with type 1 diabetes in Jordan?

# Method Design and settings

This study was a part of a larger research project. A descriptive, cross-sectional and quantitative design was used, utilising a self-report, online questionnaire on Google Forms. The sample was recruited from outpatient clinics at two large governmental hospitals in Jordan's two largest cities – Amman and Irbid.

#### Sampling

A non-probability, convenience sample was used to recruit participants aged 18–30 years with a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes for over six months, and who were able to use a smartphone or computer to complete the online questionnaire. Anyone who was **Citation:** Masadeh A, Othman E, Salem A et al (2024) Assessing self-efficacy among Jordanians with type 1 diabetes. *Journal of Diabetes Nursing* [Early view publication]

#### Key words

- Diabetes Self-Efficacy Scale
- Self-efficacyType 1 diabetes

# Authors

Arwa Masadeh, Assistant Professor, Elham Othman, Assistant Professor, and Basema Nofal, Assistant Professor, all at the School of Nursing, Applied Science Private University Amman, Jordan; Aziza Salem, Assistant Professor, Nursing Department, University of Tabuk, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia; Ali M. Saleh, Associate Professor, and Muhammad W. Darawad, Professor, both at the School of Nursing, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan. "Self-care practices are essential in the management of diabetes and its complications but are affected by many factors, such as a person's belief in their ability to perform them." critically ill or had any mental or physical disabilities was excluded from the study.

#### Instruments

The data was collected using a self-administered questionnaire composed of a demographic data sheet and the Arabic version of the Diabetes Self-Efficacy Scale (DSES). The DSES is composed of 60 items that measure patients' confidence levels in performing specific activities and behaviours, and managing their disease.

These items were categorised into seven subscales: 15 on eating healthy foods; 6 items on activity; 7 on blood glucose monitoring; 6 on adherence to medication; 7 on foot care; 4 on problem-solving; and 15 on reducing risks.

Participants responded to the DSES items on a six-point Likert scale from "Strongly disagree" (0) to "Strongly agree" (5). Total scores ranged from 0 to 300, with higher scores reflecting a greater SE. The DSES is a valid, reliable scale (Sousa et al, 2009); Cronbach's alpha coefficient in the current study was 0.94.

Finally, a pilot study was conducted to assess the reliability and comprehensibility of this DSES version, and to highlight any technical problems that participants could faced relating to the online questionnaire.

#### **Data collection**

After obtaining ethical approvals, the principal investigator approached the nurse managers working in the diabetes clinics at the chosen hospitals, explained the study purpose and procedure, and obtained their permission to meet potential participants. They were then interviewed and, if they met the inclusion criteria, informed consent was obtained for their participation.

Subsequently, a link to the online questionnaire was sent to their phones, and they were asked to complete it while they waited to see the physician in the diabetes clinic. This took around 20 minutes, during which the investigator was available to answer any questions.

#### Data analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS (v.26). Descriptive statistics were used to describe the study sample and their responses to the questionnaire. The differences

# Table 1. Participant characteristics (n=127).

Study	<b>n</b> (%)
Marital status	
Single	95 (74.2)
Married	33 (25.8)
Educational level	
Less than BSc	72 (56.7)
BSc or higher	55 (43.3)
Level of employment	
Not employed	85 (66.9)
Employed	42 (33.1)
Monthly income	
Less than 500 JD	93 (73.2)
More than 500 JD	34 (26.8)
Health education about diabetes	
Self-blood glucose monitoring	103 (80.5)
Insulin preparation and injection	68 (53.1)
Insulin dose adjustment	66 (51.6)
Pills taking	50 (39.1)
Meal planning	69 (53.9)
Reading nutrition facts labels	76 (59.4)
Choosing healthy foods	26 (20.3)
Meal portion sizes	39 (30.5)
Goals setting	29 (22.7)
Problem-solving	35 (27.3)
Decision making	23 (18.0)
Keeping blood glucose records	39 (30.5)
Foot assessment and care	44 (34.4)

in participants' scores based on their characteristics were assessed by conducting a series of *t*-tests and one-way ANOVA. Further, the Pearson coefficient was used to detect relationships between the participants' characteristics and their SE scores.

#### **Ethical considerations**

Ethical approvals from the University of Jordan and the Ministry of Health were obtained before commencing the research. Also, this research was conducted under the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Results

#### Sample characteristics

The total sample comprised 127 participants. Most were female (n=75; 58.6%) and single (n=95; 74.2%). Their mean age was  $23.47\pm4.09$  and

Table 2. Participant diabetes self-efficacy scores.							
Scale	Items	Total score,	Mean score,	Min-max	95% Cl		
	( <i>n</i> )	M (SD)	M (SD)		Lower	Upper	
Entire DSES	60	215.46 (34.98)	3.59 (0.56)	97–299	209.32	221.60	
Eating healthy foods	15	46.28 (11.55)	3.08 (0.77)	15–75	44.25	48.31	
Activity	6	20.07 (6.11)	3.34 (1.01)	0–30	18.99	21.14	
Blood glucose monitoring	7	24.67 (5.63)	3.52 (0.80)	9–35	23.68	25.66	
Adherence to medication regimen	6	24.74 (4.80)	4.12 (0.80)	6–30	23.90	25.59	
Foot care	7	28.18 (4.58)	4.02 (0.65)	14–35	27.38	28.99	
Problem-solving	4	17.42 (3.05)	4.35 (0.76)	4–20	16.88	17.96	
Reducing risks	15	54.07 (12.33)	3.60 (0.82)	10–75	51.90	56.23	

"Interventions aimed at improving self-efficacy could, therefore, enhance a person's confidence in their abilities, improve adherence and commitment to perform self-care behaviours, and achieve better outcomes, including lowering BMI."

their average weight was normal based on BMI (24.11±4.01). Only 39 (30.5%) declared being a smoker, and 75 participants (58.6%) had no other medical conditions. Most declared receiving diabetes education (n=117; 91.4%), with the most-reported topic on which education was received being "Self-blood glucose monitoring" (n=103; 80.5%; *Table 1*).

# **Diabetes self-efficacy**

Participants' total DSES mean score (M) was 215.46 (SD, 34.98; range, 97–299). Responses to the DSES scale and subscales are summarised in *Table 2*. The greatest confidence participants had in managing their condition was in "Problem-solving" activities (M=4.35±0.76), followed by "Adherence to medication regimen" (M=4.12±0.80). Conversely, the lowest confidence was related to "Eating healthy foods" (M=3.08±0.77) and "Activity" (M=3.34±1.01).

The participants' responses to each item were calculated to detect items with high or low diabetes SE (*Table 3*). Generally, the highest items belonged to the "Problem-solving", "Foot care" and "Adherence to medication regimen" subscales.

The highest mean was for item 45: "I think I can figure out what to do when my blood sugar is low" (M=4.46±0.77), followed by item 36: I think I can keep my toenails clean and trimmed (M=4.35±0.8). The lowest scores were for "Eating healthy foods" and "Activity". The lowest means were for item 4:

"I think I can stay on my meal plan even when I eat outside my home" ( $M=2.31\pm1.52$ ) and item 7: "I think I can eat at least five servings of fruits and vegetables every day" ( $M=2.43\pm1.49$ ).

# Differences in self-efficacy levels in people with type 1 diabetes

The differences in scores based on the participants' characteristics were assessed. Efficacy in "Eating healthy foods" significantly differed based on income (t(125)=2.01; P=.046). Those with a monthly income of less than 500 Jordanian Dinar exhibited higher efficacy (M=47.51±11.31) than those with higher income  $(M=42.91\pm11.72)$ . Participants' efficacy in "Physical activity" significantly differed based on their gender (t(125)=2.66; P=.004), with males exhibiting higher efficacy in "Activity" (M=21.73±5.25) than females  $(M=18.87\pm6.42)$ . The rest of the demographics (marital level, education level and employment) did not reveal significant differences.

The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to test associations between age, BMI, diabetes duration and SE total scores and each subscale (*Table* 4). There were no significant relationships between age or diabetes duration with SE scores. However, there was a significant negative relationship between BMI and efficacy in "Blood glucose monitoring" (r=-.28; P=.001) and efficacy in "Adherence to medication regimen" (r=-.23; P=.008). This suggests that those with a higher BMI exhibit lower "Self-efficacy is one of the most important factors affecting quality of life in people with diabetes."

# Table 3. Highest and lowest items in the Diabetes Self-efficacy Scale.

Item	Sub-scale	Statement	<i>M</i> (SD)			
Highe	Highest 10 items					
45	Problem-solving	I think I can figure out what to do when my blood sugar is low	4.46 (0.77)			
36	Foot care	I think I can keep my toenails clean and trimmed	4.35 (0.80)			
29	Adherence to medication regimen	I think I can prepare and inject my insulin correctly	4.33 (0.98)			
44	Problem-solving	I think I can recognise when my blood sugar is low	4.33 (0.94)			
42	Problem-solving	I think I can recognise when my blood sugar is high	4.31 (0.94)			
43	Problem-solving	I think I can figure out what to do when my blood sugar is high	4.31 (0.91)			
40	Foot care	I think I can wear comfortable shoes and socks or stockings that fit me well all the time	4.25 (0.71)			
37	Foot care	I think I can completely dry my feet after taking a bath or shower	4.19 (0.90)			
34	Adherence to medication regimen	I think I can take my insulin or other medications as prescribed by my health care provider	4.17 (0.97)			
30	Adherence to medication regimen	I think I can take my insulin even when I am away from home	4.14 (1.21)			
Lowe	st 10 items					
26	Blood glucose monitoring	I think I can check my blood sugar level every time before and after I exercise	2.98 (1.50)			
9	Eating healthy foods	I think I can choose to eat foods that are lower in fats and cholesterol all the time	2.96 (1.28)			
48	Reducing risks	I think I can carry or wear my diabetes identification all the time	2.86 (1.74)			
3	Eating healthy foods	I think I can stay on my meal plan all the time	2.81 (1.39)			
6	Eating healthy foods	I think I can stay on my meal plan even when I am at parties	2.80 (1.45)			
56	Reducing risks	I think I can get a flu shot every year	2.78 (1.61)			
8	Eating healthy foods	I think I can control my intake of carbohydrates all the time	2.59 (1.44)			
18	Activity	I think I can exercise even when I feel a little tired	2.53 (1.51)			
7	Eating healthy foods	I think I can eat at least five servings of fruits and vegetables every day	2.43 (1.49)			
4	Eating healthy foods	I think I can stay on my meal plan even when I eat outside my home	2.31 (1.52)			

confidence in monitoring their blood glucose levels and adhering to their medication regimen.

#### Discussion

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the level and determinants of self-efficacy among Jordanian adults with type 1 diabetes, which is essential for the effective self-care management that is considered a cornerstone of diabetes care. Participants reported a relatively high level of SE (215 out of 300; 71.7%). Compared to people with type 2 diabetes, this is higher than the 52.5% and 60.6% reported by Oluma et al (2020) and Calli and Kartal (2021), respectively, but lower than the 91.14% and

Table 4. Correlations between participants' related factors and self-efficacy.								
	Total self- efficacy	Eating healthy foods	Activity	Blood glucose monitoring	Adherence to medication regimen	Foot care	Problem- solving	Reducing risks
Age	031	035	087	036	080	.017	.018	.025
BMI	165	116	118	281**	235**	015	126	044
Diabetes duration	.016	049	123	.159	.120	.059	.124	019
**P<.01 (2-tailed)								

"Improving selfefficacy among people with diabetes is based on improving their knowledge and experience in performing self-care behaviours, particularly those that they have low confidence in."

73.8% reported by Şahin et al (2021) and Rashid et al (2018). A Brazilian study found that all 35 participants with type 1 diabetes reported medium to high levels of SE in diabetes management (Nass et al, 2019).

Self-efficacy is one of the most important factors affecting quality of life in people with diabetes. Higher SE leads to better adherence to various selfcare behaviours, better glycaemic control and fewer complications (Tharek et al, 2018; Abdelghaffar et al, 2020). It has been reported that people with higher SE have lower levels of diabetes-related distress (Abdelghaffar et al, 2020) and that SE is the strongest predictor of well-being among people with type 2 diabetes (Calli et al, 2021).

Self-efficacy can be improved through an increased knowledge of diabetes management via education programmes (Walker et al, 2014). In an Iranian quasi-study of 76 adolescent girls with type 1 diabetes, those in the intervention group received texts or video educational messages. Results indicated a significant increase in SE in this group compared to the control group, particularly in the diet control, blood glucose control and medical care domains (Chopoghlo et al, 2021).

In the current study, confidence in diabetes management was highest in problem-solving, followed by adherence to medications. In contrast, the lowest confidence was in eating healthy foods and activity. This is partly consistent with Amer et al (2018), who found that 392 patients with type 2 diabetes were more confident in managing medications, followed by nutrition then physical exercise. Also, Rashid et al (2018) reported that 329 participants with type 2 diabetes had higher SE levels in managing medications, followed by blood glucose monitoring, physical exercise and then an eating plan. Furthermore, a Saudi study of 75 people with type 2 diabetes reported higher levels of SE in managing medications, followed by foot care and blood glucose monitoring. In contrast, SE in managing diet and exercise was found to be the lowest (Alaboudi et al, 2016).

Item analysis of the SE scale in our study revealed that the highest reported SE levels related to problem-solving, medication adherence and foot care. This was consistent with reported levels of SE in managing medications (Amer et al, 2018; Rashid et al, 2018) and foot care (Alaboudi et al, 2016). One possible reason for high level of SE in foot care is that Muslim participants have to perform ablution each time they pray, which may be many times daily. This includes washing their feet, which also provides opportunities to check them. The lowest reported SE levels were items related to eating. This is consistent with former studies that reported the lowest levels of SE in managing eating and exercise (Alaboudi et al, 2016; Rashid et al, 2018).

Self-efficacy has been linked with a better attitude toward self-care management and, consequently, improved adherence to these behaviours (Karimy et al, 2018). It has been found correlate with various self-care management behaviours, including controlling and testing blood glucose, medication adherence, diet, exercise and foot care. Those with higher SE levels adhere more to these behaviours (Alaboudi et al, 2016; Saad et al, 2018). For example, those with higher SE in blood glucose monitoring were found to have better glycaemic control.

The current study indicates that patients with less income reported higher levels of SE in eating

"Those who showed higher levels of selfefficacy reported better adherence to self-care activities, resulting in better glycaemic control, fewer diabetic complications and, subsequently, better quality of life." healthy foods. Those with a lower income are used to living in specific circumstances and have adjusted their needs based on limited resources, perhaps resulting in more confidence managing their condition. Nass et al (2019) found that in a cohort of young people with type 1 diabetes, those who were unemployed showed slightly higher levels of SE.

Based on a literature search, no studies reported relationships between specific sub-scales of SE with any of the demographic characteristics. However, our findings revealed that males reported higher levels of SE in physical activity than females. This could be attributed to fewer perceived barriers to exercise for males than females, such as places to exercise (Shajrawi et al, 2021). In Jordan, females need closed areas to exercise. However, Almutary and Tayyib (2020) found that educational level was the main factor correlating with exercise SE. As selfefficacy affects adherence to and the performing of self-care activities, those with higher levels of SE exercise more than those with lower levels (Oluma et al, 2020; Şahin et al, 2021).

Our results reveal that those with higher BMI reported lower levels of SE in blood glucose monitoring and adherence to medication. This is consistent with Malaysian and Turkish studies of people with type 2 diabetes (Tharek et al, 2018; Şahin et al, 2021), but contradicts the study by Nass et al (2019), in which it was not significant.

There was a significant relationship between BMI and the level of SE. This can be interpreted as increasing BMI negatively affecting a person's self-image, their feelings of control, self-confidence and their belief in their capabilities to perform selfcare behaviours, leading to decreased motivation, commitment and adherence to their self-care behaviours, and thus poor management of diabetes and increased complications. Interventions aimed at improving SE could, therefore, enhance a person's confidence in their abilities, improve adherence and commitment to perform self-care behaviours, and achieve better outcomes, including lowering BMI.

#### Limitations and recommendations

This study's limitations include the convenience sampling of participants and their limited age range (18–30 years), which affects the generalisability of the results. However, it was the most suitable method to recruit a sample with specific characteristics.

Also, using a self-report questionnaire affects the validity and accuracy of the information provided. However, it was the best data collection method for a geographically dispersed population. It is also possible that, because of the questionnaire's length, participants lost focus while completing it, and subsequently provided inaccurate data.

Improving SE among people with diabetes is based on improving their knowledge and experience in performing self-care behaviours, particularly those that they have low confidence in. This could be achieved by planning educational programmes based on patients' needs that could be implemented in various user-friendly and easily accessible formats, such as mobile phone apps and websites. Also, it is recommended that exercise programmes provide settings and times that females feel comfortable with.

# Conclusion

This study highlights the importance of SE among people with type 1 diabetes. Participants had relatively high levels of SE, but not in all subscales. Confidence in identifying and managing any alteration in blood glucose level, adherence to insulin and foot care were reported the highest, while eating healthy foods and exercising were the lowest. Efforts should be focused on people's needs to improve their SE in needed areas. Selfefficacy varies according to many factors that should be taken into consideration. Self-efficacy is one of the most critical factors affecting selfcare management of diabetes. Those who showed higher levels of SE reported better adherence to self-care activities, resulting in better glycaemic control, fewer diabetic complications and. subsequently, better quality of life.

- Adam J, Folds L (2014) Depression, self-efficacy, and adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes. *The Journal for Nurse Practitioners* **10**: 646–52
- Alaboudi IS, Hassali MA, Shafie AA, Saleem F (2016) Self-efficacy, self-care behaviours and glycaemic control in type 2 diabetic patients in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Public Health* 24: 281–90
- Almutary H, Tayyib N (2020) Factors associated with exercise selfefficacy among people with chronic diseases. *Appl Nurs Res* 54: 151275

Abdelghaffar W, Amiri H, Zouari B (2020) Self-care activities adherence in Tunisian patients with type 2 diabetes: role of diabetes-related distress and self-efficacy. *Acta Diabetol* 57: 631–3

- Amer FA, Mohamed M, Elbur A et al (2018) Influence of selfefficacy management on adherence to self-care activities and treatment outcome among diabetes mellitus type 2. *Pharm Pract* (*Granada*) **16**: 1274
- Calli D, Kartal A (2021) The relationship between self-efficacy of diabetes management and well-being in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Niger J Clin Pract* **24**: 393–9
- Chopoghlo S, Hosseinkhani A, Khedmat L et al (2021) The selfefficacy improvement in adolescent girls with type 1 diabetes mellitus with self-care education through mobile-based social networking. International Journal of Diabetes in Developing Countries **41**: 676–82
- D'Souza M, Karkada S, Parahoo K et al (2017) Self-efficacy and self-care behaviours among adults with type 2 diabetes. *Applied Nursing Research* **36**: 25–32
- ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR et al (2023) 5. Facilitating positive health behaviors and well-being to improve health outcomes: standards of Care in Diabetes – 2023. *Diabetes Care* **46**(Suppl 1): S68–96
- Karimy M, Koohestani HR, Araban M (2018) The association between attitude, self-efficacy, and social support and adherence to diabetes self-care behavior. *Diabetol Metabol Syndr* 10: 86
- Nass EMA, Marcon SS, Teston EF et al (2019) Psychosocial selfefficacy in young people with diabetes mellitus and its influence on self-care. Rev Rene 20: 55
- Oluma A, Abadiga M, Mosisa G et al (2020) Perceived selfefficacy and associated factors among adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus at public hospitals of Western Ethiopia, 2020. *Patient Prefer Adherence* **14**: 1689–98

- Rashid A, Zuhra H, Tan C (2018) Social support, self-efficacy and their correlation among patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A primary care perspective. *Med J Malaysia* **73**: 197–201
- Saad AMJ, Younes ZMH, Ahmed H et al (2018) Self-efficacy, selfcare and glycemic control in Saudi Arabian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A cross-sectional survey. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* **137**: 28–36
- Sahin D, Ersoy S, Emin P (2021) Self-efficacy, medical adherence and related factors in patients with diabetes. *Family Practice and Palliative Care* **6**: 13–21
- Shajrawi A, Khalil H, Al-Sutry M et al (2021) Exercise self-efficacy, perceived benefits, and barriers to exercise among patients following acute myocardial infarction. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* **36**: E11–19
- Sousa VD, Hartman S, Miller E, Carroll M (2009) New measures of diabetes self-care agency, diabetes self-efficacy, and diabetes self-management for insulin-treated individuals with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Nurs* **18**: 1305–12
- Tharek Z, Ramli A, Whitford, D et al (2018) Relationship between self-efficacy, self-care behaviour and glycaemic control among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Malaysian primary care setting. *BMC Fam Pract* **19**: 39
- Walker RJ, Smalls BL, Hernandez-Tejada MA et al (2014) Effect of diabetes self-efficacy on glycemic control, medication adherence, self-care behaviors, and quality of life in a predominantly low-income, minority population. *Ethn Dis* 24: 349–55