# How the clinical laboratory can help diabetes nurse teams to focus on those with diabetes at greatest risk

David Holland<sup>1</sup>, Adrian H. Heald<sup>2,3</sup>, Ian Halsall<sup>4</sup>, Pensee Wu<sup>5</sup>, Mike Stedman<sup>6</sup>, Fahmy W.F. Hanna<sup>5,7</sup>, Anthony A. Fryer<sup>5</sup>

It is recommended that testing for glycated haemoglobin ( $HbA_{1c}$ ) is conducted at least every 6 months in people living with diabetes. Real-world evidence suggests, however, that general practices are often unable to meet this standard. In this study, a clinical biochemistry laboratory supported the targeting of those most a risk by sending a simple, monthly report to participating practices identifying those with the most sub-optimal control who were overdue their routine  $HbA_{1c}$  test. The investigators compared 3-year outcomes at participating practices with a similar group following standard practice. They found an overall reduction in people overdue a test in the intervention group that was more marked in those with a very high  $HbA_{1c}$ . There was a significant fall in median  $HbA_{1c}$  and in the proportion of  $HbA_{1c}$  tests  $\geq 58$  mmol/mol in the intervention group compared with the control group. The findings suggest that clinical laboratories could become important in supporting nurses and doctors in the delivery of diabetes care.

lycated haemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) is the agreed international standard for assessing overall glycaemic status in people with diabetes. The timely use of HbA<sub>1c</sub> is critical to ensuring the best clinical outcome and minimise complications (Holt et al, 2021; Davies et al, 2022). National and international guidance recommend that it is essential that HbA<sub>1c</sub> should be measured every 3–6 months until is stable on unchanging therapy, and every 6 months thereafter (NICE, 2022a; 2022b; American Diabetes Association, 2024). Despite these recommendations, conformity to this guidance is highly variable, with over half of tests being performed outside the recommended intervals (Driskell et al, 2012).

Highlighting the importance of consistent monitoring, we previously reported that both  $HbA_{1c}$  testing frequency and pattern are linked to diabetes control, including the likelihood of achieving target  $HbA_{1c}$  levels (Driskell et al, 2014; Duff et al, 2018;

Fryer et al, 2022). These findings highlight the importance of implementing approaches to improve monitoring, particularly in those with high HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels and/or significantly overdue monitoring.

Non-medical healthcare professionals, including pharmacists, physiotherapists, dietitians and podiatrists, are increasingly supporting nurses and doctors in providing some services for people with diabetes (Khan, 2024). The expertise of clinical laboratory specialists, such as biomedical scientists and clinical biochemists, has been less well utilised in this regard.

This study explored the potential of the biochemistry laboratory to ensure that patient-facing healthcare professionals have the best data available to them in relation to the timeliness of testing in those individuals with above target  $HbA_{1c}$ .

### What we did

Using a monthly, easily understood report sent

Citation: Holland D, Heald AH, Halsall I et al (2025) How the clinical laboratory can help diabetes nurse teams to focus on those with diabetes at greatest risk. Journal of Diabetes Nursing [Early view publication]

### **Article points**

- A study was conducted to assess whether a clinical biochemistry laboratory could support nurses and doctors in relation to the timeliness of testing in people with above target HbA<sub>1</sub>.
- Succinct, monthly reports identifying patients with diabetes who were overdue their routine test and with sub-optimal control were sent to a group of practices.
- 3. Compared to practices not participating, those taking part in the intervention had fewer individuals with overdue tests, a lower mean HbA<sub>1c</sub> level and fewer patients with an HbA<sub>1c</sub> ≥58 mmol/mol.
- Laboratory-led testing could reduce pressure on general practices and other services delivering diabetes care by facilitating the targeted monitoring of high-risk patients.

### **Key words**

- Clinical laboratory tests
- Diabetes
- Glycated haemoglobin

### Authors

See next page.

Journal of Diabetes Nursing

#### **Authors**

<sup>1</sup>The Benchmarking Partnership, Keele University, Keele <sup>2</sup>Dept of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Salford Royal Hospital, Salford <sup>3</sup>The School of Medicine and Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, The University of Manchester, Manchester <sup>4</sup>Dept of Clinical Biochemistry, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge School of Medicine, Keele University, Keele <sup>6</sup>RES Consortium, Andover <sup>7</sup>Dept of Diabetes and Endocrinology, University Hospitals of North Midlands NHS Trust, Stoke-on-Trent

from the clinical biochemistry laboratory to participating general practices, we sought to provide rapid access to a list of patients with the most sub-optimal control who were overdue their routine  $HbA_{Ic}$  test. This aimed to assist practices in making more effective use of resources to tackle the backlog of overdue tests by prioritising those who were most at risk.

Anonymised patient-level HbA<sub>1c</sub> test data, date of test, result and requesting location were extracted from the Laboratory Information and Management Systems at Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust. These data were collected initially for a complete 3-year period from 1 June 2017 to 31 October 2020, and then updated monthly on a 36-month rolling basis until the effectiveness of the programme was analysed in October 2023.

Comparisons were made between two groups of general practices: an "intervention" group, comprising 60 practices who received monthly reports as described below as part of the service development programme, and a "non-intervention" group, comprising 51 practices that did not receive the reports (standard practice).

For each patient, the time intervals between tests and change in  $HbA_{lc}$  levels between the most recent tests were calculated. Tests were defined as "overdue" based on previous test result and intervals between tests. Recommended testing intervals were derived from a combination of NICE guidelines (NICE, 2022a; 2022b) and previous studies examining testing interval versus change in  $HbA_{lc}$  (Driskell et al, 2012; 2014; Duff et al, 2018; Fryer et al, 2022, Khan, 2024; Holland et al, 2025).

### What we found

At baseline (2020), the two groups had a similar proportion of people in the  $\geq$ 58 mmol/mol (7.5%) category who were overdue an HbA<sub>1c</sub> test. For those with an HbA<sub>1c</sub> of >75 mmol/mol (9.0%), there was a larger proportion overdue a test in the intervention group than the non-intervention group (74.3% vs 66.2%; P<0.001).

## How the intervention influenced the proportion of people overdue a test

By October 2023, the overall proportion of people overdue a test was 8.9% lower in the intervention

group compared to the non-intervention group. This change from 2020 was particularly striking in the >75 mmol/mol category. In the intervention group, the proportion of people overdue a test shifted from being 8.2% higher to 7.2% lower than in the non-intervention group, which corresponded to a 7.8-fold larger reduction in the number of people overdue a test.

### How the intervention influenced the days overdue a test

In the 58-75 mmol/mol category, the median days overdue for the intervention group was 14.4% lower than at baseline. For the >75 mmol/mol group, the intervention group showed a numerical reduction in the median days overdue despite starting from a lower baseline, though this just failed to reach the statistical significance threshold (P=0.059).

### How the intervention influenced HbA<sub>1</sub>,

The intervention group also showed a fall in median  $HbA_{1c}$  level, while the non-intervention group showed deterioration in both  $HbA_{1c}$  and in the proportion of  $HbA_{1c} \ge 58$  mmol/mol (*Table 1*), resulting in statistically significant differences in both parameters (P=0.020 and P=0.022, respectively, for comparison between the intervention and non-intervention groups at 3-year follow-up in 2023). All remained significant after adjustment for practice characteristics (age, sex, social deprivation, list size and diabetes prevalence) and pre-intervention levels.

### Relevance

Our findings indicate that clinical laboratories can support nurses in primary care, community clinics or secondary care teams, and doctors, by facilitating targeted monitoring of those without well-controlled diabetes. Provision of succinct monthly reports identifying patients overdue HbA<sub>1c</sub> testing can reduce the number of overdue tests. We showed that this can lead to improved glycaemic control and a higher proportion of individuals achieving target levels. As far as we are aware, this is the first study to demonstrate the effectiveness of such a laboratory-led intervention

While small at the individual level, extrapolating the 1% net reduction in the proportion of those with  $HbA_{1c} \ge 58$  mmol/mol due to the intervention

Table 1. How HbA<sub>1c</sub> was affected in those people with diabetes whose practices were included in the intervention, compared to those whose practices were not. The baseline year was 2020 and the comparison data was collected 36 months later in 2023.

	2020			2023		
	Intervention	Non- intervention	P	Intervention	Non- intervention	P
Mean overall HbA <sub>1c</sub> (mmol/mol)	58.4	58.2	0.984 (n.s.)	58.1	58.6	0.020
Proportion of people with HbA <sub>1c</sub> ≥58 mmol/mol	39.4%	39.1%	0.937 (n.s.)	39.6%	40.6%	0.022

n.s.=not significant; P=probability.

would mean that approximately  $18\,000$  additional people in the UK (4.4 million people with diabetes) could be moved from the  $\geq 58$  mmol/mol to the <58 mmol/mol category with a straightforward laboratory-led intervention.

Overall, there was a reduction in the proportion of tests and days overdue between 2020 and 2023, as the early part of this period corresponded with the UK "lockdown" periods of the COVID-19 pandemic when many fewer tests were done (Holland et al, 2023), after which there was a steady increase in the rate of  $HbA_{1c}$  testing across the UK and elsewhere. This phenomenon affected both the intervention and non-intervention arms equally.

For those with  $HbA_{1c}$  of >75 mmol/mol, the intervention group had a higher proportion overdue a test at baseline (74.3% vs 66.2% in the non-intervention group; P=0.001). However, this was reversed following the implementation of the reports by 2023, with the intervention group having a significantly lower proportion overdue a test (56.4% vs 63.6% in the non-intervention group; P=0.001). This is supported by the longitudinal data and suggests that the intervention precipitated a targeting of both 58–75 and >75 mmol/mol groups.

The intervention not only improved monitoring frequencies in this group, but also resulted in reduction of HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels. This is in keeping with previous studies showing that improved monitoring is associated with better diabetes control (Driskell et al, 2012; Anjana et al, 2015; Fryer et al, 2022; Khan, 2024; Holland et al, 2025). The reasons why regular and timely HbA<sub>1c</sub> testing is associated

with better glycaemic control may relate to primary care healthcare professionals being alerted to medication review if  $HbA_{1c}$  is regularly checked and to increasing the awareness of the patient about their own diabetes control. This is relevant because keeping to a regular testing schedule does enhance a sense of self-efficacy (Orr et al, 2006).

### **Conclusion**

Our findings indicate that clinical laboratories can support targeting monitoring in high-risk patients. Provision of succinct monthly reports identifying patients overdue testing to the clinical team can reduce the number of overdue tests, and hence improve diabetes control and achievement of target levels of HbA, .

In the future, clinical laboratories could support nurses and doctors involved in diabetes care delivery by contacting overdue patients directly to arrange testing. We have shown that this does improve HbA<sub>1c</sub> for a considerable number of people who are above the target range, which has implications for future health trajectory and reduced risk of complications developing.

### Compliance with ethical guidelines

This study is part of a service development initiative to increase the quality of laboratory test requesting. Hence, it includes a service evaluation and audit of local practice over time with a view to implementing a service development intervention to enhance the clinical laboratory service and improve conformity to recommendations on monitoring intervals. Accordingly, this study was not considered to be research using the

"Our findings indicate that clinical laboratories can support nurses in primary care, community clinics or secondary care teams, and doctors, by facilitating targeted monitoring of those without well-controlled diabetes."

Journal of Diabetes Nursing 3

"In the future, clinical laboratories could support nurses and doctors involved in diabetes care delivery by contacting overdue patients directly to arrange testing."

decision tool provided by the UK Health Research Authority and did not require NHS Research Ethics Committee review. All data extracted from Laboratory Information and Management Systems were anonymised.

- American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee (2024) 6. Glycemic Goals and Hypoglycemia: Standards of Care in Diabetes 2024. *Diabetes Care* **47**: S111–25
- Anjana RM, Shanthirani CS, Unnikrishnan R et al (2015) Regularity of follow-up, glycemic burden, and risk of microvascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 9-year followup study. Acta Diabetol 52: 601–9
- Davies MJ, Aroda VR, Collins BS et al (2022) Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes, 2022. A consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes Care* **45**: 2753–86
- Driskell OJ, Holland D, Hanna FW et al (2012) Inappropriate requesting of HbA1c is widespread: Assessment of prevalence, impact of national guidance and practice-to-practice variability. Clin Chem 58: 906–15
- Driskell OJ, Holland D, Waldron JL et al (2014) Reduced testing frequency for glycated haemoglobin, HbA1c, is associated with deteriorating diabetic control. *Diabetes Care* 37: 2731–7
- Duff CJ, Solis-Trapala I, Driskell OJ et al (2018) The frequency of testing for glycated hemoglobin, HbA1c, is linked to the probability of achieving target levels in patients with sub-

- optimally controlled diabetes mellitus. Clin Chem Lab Med 57: 296-304
- Fryer AA, Holland D, Stedman M et al (2022) Variability in test interval is linked to glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) trajectory over time. *J Diabetes Res* **2022**: 7093707
- Holland D, Heald AH, Stedman M et al (2023) Assessment of the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on UK HbA1c testing: Implications for diabetes management and diagnosis. *J Clin* Pathol **76**: 177–84
- Holland D, Halsall I, Heald AH et al (2025) Improving diabetes monitoring in people with sub-optimally controlled diabetes: implementing a clinical laboratory-led quality improvement initiative in general practice. *Diabetes Ther* **16**: 1813–27
- Holt RIG, DeVries JH, Hess-Fischl A et al (2021) The management of type 1 diabetes in adults. A consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetologia* **64**: 2609–52
- Khan N (2024) GP workload and patient safety. Br J Gen Pract 74: 412-13
- NICE (2022a) Type 1 diabetes in adults: diagnosis and management (NG17). NICE, London Available at: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng17 (accessed 27.08.25)
- NICE (2022b) Type 2 diabetes in adults: management (NG28). NICE, London. Available at: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng28 (accessed 27.08.25)
- Orr PM, McGinnis MA, Hudson LR et al (2006) A focused telephonic nursing intervention delivers improved adherence to A1c testing. *Dis Management* 9: 277–83