

To screen, or not to screen, that is the question

The study reviewed here used UK Biobank data to estimate the reduction in time to diagnosis of type 2 diabetes that could be achieved with population screening using HbA_{1c} in adults aged 40–70 years. HbA_{1c} was checked at Biobank enrolment and this result was not relayed back to participants or their clinicians, thus allowing an opportunity to assess any improvement in time to a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes with HbA_{1c} screening. In total, 1703 participants (1.0%) had undiagnosed diabetes according to an HbA_{1c} diagnostic threshold of \geq 48 mmol/mol (6.5%). The median time to clinical diagnosis in this group was 2.2 years, with a median HbA_{1c} of 58.2 mmol/mol at diagnosis, compared with 51.3 mmol/mol at study enrolment. The results provide compelling evidence that population screening with HbA_{1c} can effectively reduce the time to diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in middle-aged adults in the UK.

"All screening programmes do harm; some do good as well, and of these, some do more good than harm at reasonable cost."

Sir Muir Gray, former Director of the UK National Screening Committee (Gray et al, 2008)

opulation screening for type 2 diabetes is instinctively a good strategy to reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with uncontrolled hyperglycaemia and the associated costs to the NHS. However, the evidence base for type 2 diabetes screening at the population level is limited with regard to impact on health outcomes.

Previously, Shaw (2017) examined past evidence and found that, overall, there was little benefit directly related to centrally organised screening programmes, partly due to high levels of opportunistic screening in control groups. However, the author did highlight the influence of newer cardioprotective therapies for type 2 diabetes (i.e. SGLT2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists), which have been found to reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in people living with type 2 diabetes at high cardiovascular risk. If these therapies also demonstrated benefits in people with type 2 diabetes at lower cardiovascular risk, this might sway the argument for screening. Indeed, recent studies such as DECLARE-TIMI 58 (dapagliflozin) and REWIND (dulaglutide) have demonstrated improvements in MACE in cohorts with mixed cardiovascular risk (Wiviott et al, 2019; Gerstein et al, 2019).

In England, the NHS Health Check screens for type 2 diabetes in adults aged 40–74 years, whereas in the US, the US Preventive Services Taskforce (2021) recommends screening for prediabetes or type 2 diabetes in adults aged 35–70 years who have overweight or obesity.

The population-based, cohort study reviewed here used UK Biobank data to estimate the reduction in time to diagnosis of type 2 diabetes that could be achieved with population screening using HbA_{1c} in adults aged 40–70 years. In the UK Biobank, HbA_{1c} was checked at enrolment and this result was not relayed back to participants or their clinicians, thus allowing an opportunity to assess any improvement in time to a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes with HbA_{1c} screening.

A total of 179 923 Biobank participants had an HbA_{1c} measured at enrolment. Of these, 13 077 (7.3%) had a pre-existing diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, while 1703 (1.0%) had undiagnosed diabetes according to an HbA_{1c} diagnostic threshold of \geq 48 mmol/mol (6.5%). Median HbA_{1c} was 51.3 mmol/mol in the latter group.



Kevin Fernando
GP in North Berwick

Citation: Fernando K (2022) Diabetes Distilled: To screen, or not to screen, that is the question. *Diabetes & Primary Care* **24**: 199–200



"This well-conducted cohort study provides compelling evidence that population screening with HbA_{1c} can effectively reduce the time to diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in middle-aged adults in the UK."

The median time to clinical diagnosis for those with undiagnosed type 2 diabetes was 2.2 years, with a median HbA_{1c} of 58.2 mmol/mol at diagnosis. Notably, females with lower HbA_{1c} results and BMI measurements at enrolment appeared to have the longest delay in diagnosing type 2 diabetes.

The authors conclude that HbA_{1c} screening at enrolment of the UK Biobank study would have diagnosed type 2 diabetes cases a median of 2.2 years earlier than they received a clinical diagnosis. Furthermore, the gender inequality in diagnosis requires further exploration.

The authors do acknowledge some limitations of this study, principally that the UK Biobank has previously been established not to be a representative UK cohort. Participants are from less deprived areas, predominantly of white ethnicity and tend to have better health outcomes than the general UK population.

In conclusion, this well-conducted cohort study provides compelling evidence that population screening with HbA_{1c} can effectively reduce the time to diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in middle-aged adults in the UK. This has important implications for us in primary care: should we consider a routine HbA_{1c} check for all new patients registering with our practices?

Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR et al; REWIND investigators (2019) Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (REWIND): a double-blind, randomised placebocontrolled trial. *Lancet* **394**: 121–30

Gray JAM, Patnick J, Blanks RG (2008) Maximising benefit and minimising harm of screening. *BMJ* **336**: 480–3

Shaw JE (2017) Does the evidence support population-wide screening for type 2 diabetes? No. *Diabetologia* **60**: 2153–6

US Preventive Services Task Force (2021) Screening for prediabetes and type 2 diabetes: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA* **326**: 736–43

Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP et al; DECLARE-TIMI 58 investigators (2019) Dapagliflozin and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 380: 347–57



Click here to read the full article