# Face-to-face contact between young people and the diabetes team: A service evaluation

## Stephanie Paget, John Pemberton, Robert Cook

Elevated HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels are associated with suboptimal or poor long-term outcomes in children and young people with type 1 diabetes (CYPwD), both mentally and physically. Those with significant social and psychological needs can find it more difficult to manage their long-term health needs. Services across the UK continue to review strategies and best practice to reduce the number of CYPwD with high HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels in order to improve long-term health outcomes. The paediatric diabetes team at Birmingham Women's and Children's Hospital aimed to evaluate the service provision of a "keyworker database" to see whether regular, face-to-face contact with the same keyworker improved glucose control in CYPwD with an HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\geq$ 75 mmol/mol (9.0%).

ongitudinal studies of children and young people with type 1 diabetes (CYPwD) with higher  $HbA_{1c}$  levels have shown that these CYPwD have compromised or poorer motor skills, verbal skills and memory (Rewers et al, 2014). Further to this, there is evidence of neuropsychological dysfunction (Rewers et al, 2014). This is additional to other long-term vascular, neuropathic and psychological complications, including retinopathy, renal failure and poor perfusion (NICE, 2015).

This evidence informs us that tackling raised  $HbA_{1c}$  in the CYPwD population is a priority. Ensuring the provision of appropriate education and support is paramount to ensuring a reduction in  $HbA_{1c}$  level (NICE, 2015). Effective self-management requires frequent and high levels of educational input and continuing support to young CYPwD as well as with their parents and other caregivers (Lange et al, 2014).

Within the Birmingham Women's and Children's Hospital (BWC), the diabetes team supports CYPwD with elevated HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels to achieve optimum glycaemic control to ensure better long-term outcomes and reductions in complications associated with suboptimal long-term management (NICE, 2015; DiMeglio et al, 2018). There is "High HbA<sub>1c</sub> policy" in place based on the Best Practice Tariff (NHS, 2017) to provide frequent and ongoing support to those CYPwD who struggle to maintain an HbA<sub>1c</sub> level below 75 mmol/mol (9.0%). The policy up to 2016 mandated CYPwD who have a HbA1c of 75 mmol/mol or above at multidisciplinary team (MDT) clinic get a consultant review in six weeks, receive bi-weekly telephone calls and may access further psychosocial support if deemed necessary in MDT meetings.

In 2016, the High HbA<sub>1c</sub> policy was updated whereby CYPwD with psychosocial concerns who exceeded 75 mmol/mol were put on a keyworker database and provided with more frequent scheduled face-to-face contact by the same keyworkers. Seeing the same keyworker has been identified as important for CYPwD (Lawton et al, 2015). The purpose of this service evaluation Citation: Paget S,

Pemberton J, Cook R (2021) Face-to-face keyworker contact between young people and the diabetes team: A service evaluation *Diabetes Care for Children & Young People* **10**: [early view publication]

#### **Article points**

- Tackling raised HbA<sub>1c</sub> in children and young people with diabetes (CYPwD) is a priority as evidence shows that those with high levels have poorer long-term outcomes and more complications.
- 2. The Paediatric Diabetes Team at Birmingham Women's and Children's Hospital carried out an evaluation of their keyworker initiative for those with an HbA<sub>1c</sub> of 75 mmol/mol (9.0%) or greater.
- The service evaluation identified that ten or more face-to-face contacts is a clear "tipping point" for CYPwD with a HbA, ≥75 mmol/mol.

#### Key words

- Children and young people
- HbA<sub>1c</sub> - Service evaluation
- Authors

Stephanie Paget is a former Paediatric Specialist Nurse, Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust, and a Senior Lecturer, Birmingham City University; John Pemberton is a Diabetes Specialist Dietitian, Birmingham Women's Children's Hospital; Robert Cook is a Reserach Fellow, Birmingham City University.

#### **Page points**

- The large DCCT/EDIC randomised controlled trial provided clear evidence that intensive glycaemic control leads to fewer long-term complications of diabetes.
- 2. The *Best Practice Tariff* was developed to reduce postcode variation in diabetes care for children and young people.
- One criterion of the Best Practice Tariff is for each unit to have an operational policy, to include a "high HbA<sub>1c</sub> policy to provide extra support for those with an HbA<sub>1c</sub> of 75 mmol/mol (9.0%) or greater.

was to assess the effectiveness of the keyworker database initiative.

## **Literature review**

The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT, 1993) was the largest multicentre, randomised controlled trial investigating 14 441 adults with type 1 diabetes, including a small cohort of 195 adolescents. Participants were randomised to either intensive or conventional treatment and followed for 6.5 years. The DCCT provided clear evidence that improved glycaemic control achieved a significant risk reduction for microvascular complications, compared with conventional treatment. In the adolescent cohort, compared with conventional treatment, intensive treatment reduced the risk and progression of diabetic retinopathy by 53%, clinical neuropathy by 60% and microalbuminuria by 54%. The difference in HbA1, was 65 mmol/mol (8.1%) in the intensive group versus 83.6 mmol/mol (9.8%) in the usual care group (White et al, 2010).

The Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) study continued to follow participants involved in the original DCCT. The EDIC Research Group (1999) demonstrated there was a halo effect that continued after the end of the trial. This strongly suggested that there was a memory effect of improved glycaemic usual care, now called a legacy effect (Lachin et al, 2000). After 30 years, the benefit of intensive therapy for reduction in macrovascular disease was corroborated, with a 50% reduction in cardiovascular events over a median of 17 years' follow-up (DCCT/EDIC, 2016). The benefits of intensive therapy persisted in the adolescent cohort for 4 years during the EDIC study, with a 74% risk reduction for retinopathy, 48% less microalbuminuria, and 85% less albuminuria (White et al, 2010).

Although the landmark DCCT and EDIC results have been known for many years, there was little change in HbA<sub>1c</sub> nationally for CYPwD from 2004 to 2012 (RCPCH, 2018). This began to change in 2012–13 when a *Best Practice Tariff* became available to paediatric diabetes centres in England (Randell, 2012). The latest update to the tariff was set in 2017 (NHS England & NHS Improvement, 2017), where payment is dependent

on services fulfilling a set of specific criteria to ensure consistent, high-quality management of diabetes regardless of the individual's postcode. The *Best Practice Tariff* is a yearly £2972 per individual payment that covers all diabetes care until they have transitioned to adult services. The hope is to reduce unexplained regional variation in clinical results and to encourage best practice (NHS England & NHS Improvement, 2017). This is also reflected in the NICE (2015) guidance that advises  $HbA_{1c}$  levels should be at around 48 mmol/mol (6.5%) for optimal long-term health outcomes.

One of the criteria is for each unit to have an operational policy, which must include a structured "high  $HbA_{1c}$  policy". The aim of such a policy is to provide extra support for CYPwD whose diabetes control puts them at very high risk of diabetes-related complications in the future. A "high  $HbA_{1c}$ " was first defined by NICE (2004) at 75 mmol/mol (9.0%) or above and was reduced to 69 mmol/mol (8.5%; NICE, 2015).

There is no available literature to review on the "high HbA<sub>1c</sub>" policies of different centres in England and Wales. This is the first published service evaluation of such a policy mandated by the *Best Practice Tariff*. There is, however, one study published about the glycaemic variability in paediatric diabetes centres across England and Wales (Charalampopoulos et al, 2017), and the results focused on the variability in the clinic structures, rather than the high HbA<sub>1c</sub> policy.

## Aim

The purpose of this service evaluation was to see if more frequent contact through the keyworker database improved outcomes in CYPwD with HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\geq$ 75 mmol/mol (9.0%). The findings could provide the foundations for the high HbA<sub>1c</sub> policy within BWC and establish whether keyworker intervention is required for all CYPwD with an HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\geq$ 75 mmol/mol.

The aims of the retrospective service evaluation were to:

• Assess whether more frequent face-toface contact via keyworker database improves  $HbA_{1c}$  outcomes in children with an  $HbA_{1c}$  $\geq$ 75 mmol/mol with psychosocial issues.

• Gain insight into which contact measures

#### Box 1. Criteria for psychosocial challenges

- Child in need plan
- Under the care of social services
- Under the care of psychology services

are the most effective in supporting the improvement in  $HbA_{1c}$  for CYPwD with an  $HbA_{1c} \ge 75 \text{ mmol/mol}$ .

• Inform development of a new "high HbA<sub>1c</sub> policy" at BWC.

#### Method

## **Design and participants**

This retrospective service evaluation looked at all the CYPwD in the BWC type 1 diabetes cohort records to assess if they had one or more HbA<sub>1c</sub> readings  $\geq$ 75 mmol/mol from 1<sup>st</sup> Januray 2016 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2016. CYPwD diagnosed in 2016 were excluded from the evaluation.

Of the 282 CYPwD in the BWC cohort in 2016, 93 had at least one HbA1, measurement ≥75 mmol/mol. The cohort of 93 CYPwD were split into two groups depending on if they were put onto the "keyworker database" in 2016 due to having psychosocial challenges (see Box 1). There was a total of 28 CYPwD in this "keyworker group". The 65 CYPwD receiving usual "High HbA<sub>1</sub>, Policy" care are referred to as the "usual care group". Table 1 details the intervention received by the keyworker and usual care groups. Each CYPwD in the "keyworker group" was assigned two keyworkers based on level of familiarity and geographic location. For each participant, there was a lead keyworker (keyworker 1) and a second keyworker (keyworker 2). If the lead keyworker was not present, the second keyworker could offer support.

#### **Data collection**

The following information was collected during the reporting period of 1<sup>st</sup> January 2016 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2016 from the online diabetes management database (Twinkle.net; Hicom):

- Age.
- Duration of diabetes.
- Gender.
- Postcode (to determine socioeconomic deprivation).

Table 1. Support received by the keyworker and usual care groups in those with an HbA<sub>ic</sub>  $\geq$ 75mmol/mol (9%).

	Usual care Group CYPwD with one or more HbA <sub>tc</sub> ≥75 mmol/mol (9%) without psychosocial challenges.
Consultant clinic six weeks after clinic.	Consultant clinic six weeks after clinic.
Two weekly telephone calls by member of the diabetes team.	Two weekly telephone calls by member of the diabetes team (if deemed clinically appropriate by the team).
Two weekly face-to-face meeting with named key workers.	

CYPwD = Child or Young Person with diabetes

- HbA<sub>1c</sub> (mmol/mol).
- Contacts received (face-to-face, telephone, MDT discussion).

Each of the 93 CYPwD Twinkle.net records were assessed to see if the individual had a child in need plan or social service plan, or wa under the care of psychology services.

## Data analysis

The data were analysed via linear regression, considering how the  $HbA_{1c}$  levels were affected by the keyworker intervention. Secondary analysis was carried out to explore the effect of each form of contact (face-to-face, remote, and MDT discussions) on  $HbA_{1c}$  levels, while correcting for gender, socioeconomic status and time since diagnosis. The distance travelled in  $HbA_{1c}$  levels were defined at two time points: 9 and 12 months.

Where model comparisons were made, the model  $HbA_{1c}$  was used to judge model quality, with an optimal model being the minimum  $HbA_{1c}$  score. Where step-function analysis has been used, multiple competing models were fitted over the independent parameter range, with the minimum  $HbA_{1c}$  value indicative of the optimal model (Burt, 1964). All analysis was carried out in R using the stats package (R Core Team, 2019). Model fits were checked for linear-modelling assumptions via QQ-normal plots and Cooks distance – excluding

## Page points

- Differences between groups in gender, time since diagnosis and index of multiple deprivation (IMD) were compared for possible bias.
- The keyworker group had a lower representation of the higher IMD classes, with ~85% of the data drawn from classes 1 and 2 in the usual care group, compared with only 55% in the keyworker group.
- 3. Only face-to-face contact had a significant effect (-0.7±0.2 mmol/mol HbA<sub>1c</sub> per contact; *P*=0.002), with no significant change resulting from remote contacts or multidisciplinary team discussions (1.1±0.8 mmol/mol).

data points with large values of Cook's distance (Cook, 1977) or leverage (Harris et al, 2014).

## Ethics

This service evaluation was a collaboration between Birmingham City University (BCU) and BWC. Ethical approval for this project was granted by BCU, in partnership with BWC. In all written reports, publications and papers, no CYPwD or their families were identifiable and there was no mention to any specific cases or information. All data were anonymised.

## Results

Differences between groups in gender, time since diagnosis and index of multiple deprivation (IMD) were compared for possible bias (Harris et al, 2014; Table 2). Both gender and time since diagnosis appear equivalent between the keyworker group and the usual care group; however, IMD rating appears to vary. A brief inspection of the IMD data revealed that the keyworker group had a lower representation of the higher IMD classes, with around 85% of the data drawn from classes 1 and 2 in the usual care group, compared with only 55% in the keyworker group. The lower classifications identified with the regions of most deprivation; hence this study's findings may be of most use when considering the impact of the intervention in more deprived areas.

Initially, the change in HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels between 9 and 12 months was analysed via linear regression, taking enrolment in the keyworker database as the sole independent variable. At both time points, the intervention was found to have no effect on the level of HbA1c, with unadjusted changes in HbA1c of 1±4 mmol/mol; P=0.844) and -6±6 mmol/mol; P=0.324), respectively, for subjects assigned to the keyworker group. The 9-month follow-up dataset was found to have the most complete cases (75% compared with only 38% for 12 months' follow-up), and hence all secondary analysis was performed using purely the 9-month follow-up data. The lack of data at 12 months is due to not all the CYPwD receiving four HbA, tests from 1st January 2016 to 31st December 2016. There were a variety of reasons for this, including missed or cancelled clinic appointments and clinic cancellations.

With the data pooled (ignoring the use of the keyworker database) the 9-month HbA<sub>1c</sub> change was analysed as a function of the quantity of interventions (face-to-face, remote contact and MDT discussions) received over the 12 months of the study. Only face-to-face contact had a significant effect ( $-0.7\pm0.2$  mmol/mol HbA<sub>1c</sub> per contact; *P*=0.002), with no significant change from remote contacts ( $0.12\pm0.07$  mmol/mol; *P*=0.08) or MDT discussions ( $1.1\pm0.8$  mmol/mol per contact; *P*=0.14).

Table 2. Demographic data for the Usual care and Keyworker group. \* indicates significance of *P*<0.05.

	Usual care group	Keyworker group	Equivalent test <i>P</i> value
Number	35	17	
Male	35	17	0.70
Female	30	11	(Chi squared test)
Time since diagnosi (average)	s 6.4	5.8	0.47 (Welchs t-test)
Median IMD	2	1	0.014 * (Mann-Whitney U)

The average case received 14.3 face-to-face contacts, suggesting an  $HbA_{1c}$  reduction of 10 mmol/mol from face-to-face interventions for the average case. A change in  $HbA_{1c}$  of this magnitude is of notable clinical significance, though the use of a linear model may over-emphasise the scale of the effect (Casson et al, 2014). Instead of being a continuous factor, there may instead be a "tipping point" – some level of face-to-face contact required to achieve improved glycaemic control – past which no further improvement is seen.

Analysis of reductions in  $HbA_{1c}$  at 9 months and the level of face-to-face contact using a stepfunction model found 10 face-to-face contacts to be optimal. When the CYPwD received more than 10 interventions over the course of the year, there was a significant reduction in  $HbA_{1c}$  of  $-12\pm3$  mmol/mol (*P*=0.0005). These results are plotted in *Figure 1*.

Repeating the step-function analysis and including three confounders (socioeconomic group, gender and time since diagnosis) also identified a "tipping point" of 10 face-to-face contacts.  $HbA_{1c}$  decreased by  $15\pm4$  mmol/mol (*P*=0.00015) when the number of face-to-face contacts exceeded 10.

Logistic regression was carried out to investigate whether gender, time since diagnosis, frequency of remote contacts or frequency of MDT discussion increased the likelihood of the individual attending the necessary 10 face-to-face contact sessions to obtain a significant benefit. Only the rate of remote contacts had a significant impact ( $0.4\pm0.2$ mmol/mol; *P*=0.02). This is equivalent to scaling the odds of 10 face-to-face contacts by 1.04 for each additional remote contact.

## Discussion

The results of this service evaluation showed no difference in change in  $HbA_{1c}$  over 9 months between the keyworker group and usual care group. This suggests the keyworker intervention did not have an impact. It must be considered, however, that the subjects in the keyworker group were deemed to have extra psychosocial challenges as identified by the MDT. It could be argued that, as the keyworker group achieved parity in  $HbA_{1c}$  across the year, the keyworker database

	Es	timate	Std.	P-value	Interpretation
			Error		
Intercept	15.	0	5.0	0.001	**
"face-to-face" 10	> -15	.0	4.0	0.000	***
IMD level 2	-1.0	D	5.0	0.79	-
IMD level 3	5.0		6.0	0.39	-
IMD level 4	-2.0	D	8.0	0.78	-
IMD level 5	-1.0	D	6.0	0.83	-
IMD level 6	0.0		14.0	0.98	-
IMD level 7	-10	.0	6.0	0.08	-
IMD level 8	6.0		14.0	0.69	-
IMD level 9	2.0		10.0	0.80	-
Gender (Male)	3.0		4.0	0.42	-
Duration diabetes	of -1.0	D	0.0	0.05	

Figure 1. Analysis of reduction in  $HbA_{ic}$  at 9 months and level of face-to-face contact using a step-function model.

was a success; however, this is just speculation at this stage and, although it is beyond the scope of the current study, it could be the focus of future research. Achieving  $HbA_{1c}$  parity across the year runs contrary to the rise that would be expected without extra support.

The finding of a "tipping point" at 10 or more face-to-face contacts across the year was a significant outcome, however. The 12 mmol/mol difference in HbA<sub>1c</sub> across the year between groups, which increased to 15 mmol/mol after adjustment for major confounders, in favour of those receiving 10 or more face-to-face contacts is of great clinical significance when the DCCT/EDIC results are considered. The adolescent cohort of the DCCT/EDIC study showed an 18 mmol/mol difference in favour of intensive treatment over usual care over 7.4 years. The benefits to the intensive therapy group four years after the study finished were a 74% risk reduction for retinopathy, 48% lower risk of microalbuminuria and 85% less albuminuria. (White et al, 2010).

The adult data suggest that having an HbA<sub>1c</sub> that is 10 mmol/mol lower will reduce the risk of micro- and macrovascular complications by over 40% (DCCT/EDIC, 2016). This amplifies the need to ensure CYPwD with an HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\geq$ 75 mmol/mol (9.0%) receive at least 10 face-to-face contacts annually.

The "tipping point" finding was used to reshape the BWC High HbA<sub>1c</sub> policy from 2018 "This service evaluation identified that 10 or more face-to-face contacts is a clear "tipping point" for individuals with an HbA<sub>1c</sub> ≥75 mmol/mol (9.0%)."

#### **References (cont.)**

- NICE (2015) Diabetes (type 1 and type 2) in children and young people: diagnosis and management [NG18]. NICE, London. Available at: www. nice.org.uk/guidance/ng18 (accessed 14.06.21)
- NHS England and NHS Improvement (2017) Paediatric Diabetes Best Practice Tariff Criteria – for paediatric diabetes units in England. Available at: https://bit.ly/3htSTO7 (accessed 25.06.21)
- Randell T (2012) Developing a best practice tariff in paediatric diabetes: Can we improve services for children and young people with diabetes in England? Diabetes Care for Children and Young People **1**: 23–6
- R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria. Available at www.R-project.org (accessed 14.06.21)
- Rewers. MJ, Pillay K, De Beaufort C et al (2014) Assessment and monitoring of glycemic usual care in Children and Adolescents with Diabetes. *Pediatr Diabetes* **15** (suppl 20): 102–14
- RCPCH (2018) NPDA Annual Report 2017–18. Available at: https://bit. ly/3izZNUe (accessed 14.06.21)
- White NH, Sun W, Cleary PA et al (2010) Effect of prior intensive therapy in type 1 diabetes on 10-year progression of retinopathy in the DCCT/EDIC: comparison of adults and adolescents. *Diabetes* **59**: 1244–53

onwards. The 2016 High  $HbA_{1c}$  policy was based on two-weekly phone calls with one face-to-face visit between three-monthly clinics. This added up to only eight face-to-face contacts each year, which was deemed sub-optimal following this "tipping point" finding.

In 2018, a new "High  $HbA_{1c}$  clinic" model was introduced. The two major changes were a lower  $HbA_{1c}$  cut-off of 69 mmol/mol (8.5%) and increased face-to-face contact with a nurse or dietitian clinic at 2, 4 and possibly 6 weeks after the MDT clinic. This ensured the "tipping point" was achieved in those with high  $HbA_{1c}$ . Preliminary data analysis shows this new model has been a success. The overall clinic  $HbA_{1c}$ dropped from 68 mmol/mol (8.4%) in 2017 to 62 mmol/mol (7.8%) in 2018 (*P*<0.01). Also, the CYPwD who attended the nurse or dietitian clinics between MDT clinic visits lowered their HbA<sub>1c</sub> by 10 mmol/mol (*P*<0.01).

To assess whether the recommended change in High  $HbA_{lc}$  policy has been successful, the team plan to carry out an audit after a year, specifically looking at:

- Percentage of high HbA<sub>1c</sub> cohort who received ten or more face-to-face contacts.
- The difference in HbA<sub>1c</sub> between those who received 10 or more face-to-face contacts versus those who did not.
- Exploratory analysis into the reasons why some individuals receive less than 10 face-to-face contacts.
- Can the face-to-face contacts be delivered by virtual clinics using downloads and multimedia technology?
- Qualitative analysis of individuals' reasons why face-to-face is preferable to telephone contact.

#### **Study limitations**

The authors have identified a number of limitations of this retrospective study. An important limitation is that the team were unable to conduct a comprehesive cost analysis for faceto-face contacts with their patients. For example, it would be beneficial to understand the cost implications of virtual sessions, clinic sessions or home visits, and consider whether the method of contact benefits the individual patient.

Furthermore, the authors acknowledge that

there was an inconsistency in  $HbA_{lc}$  data. Due to cancelled appointments and individuals not attending clinic for their  $HbA_{lc}$  test, the authors were unable to obtain a full year of  $HbA_{lc}$  data, and so the data analysis was limited to just 9 months.

All participants were assessed for psychological need via their Twinkle record. This was defined as those patients in receipt of ongoing psychological support provided by either the resident Diabetes Psychologist, Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services or an alternative service.

### Conclusion

This service evaluation identified that 10 or more face-to-face contacts is a clear "tipping point" for individuals with an HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\geq$ 75 mmol/mol (9.0%). CYPwD receiving 10 or more contacts per year can be expected to have a 12–15 mmol/mol lower HbA<sub>1c</sub> than those who do not. This "tipping point" finding should change clinical practice and be audited for its reproducibility.

- Burt OR (1964) Curve fitting to step functions. Am J Agric Econ 46: 662–72
- Casson RJ and Farmer LD (2014) Assumptions of linear regression. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* **42**: 590–96
- Charalampopoulos D et al (2017) Clinic variation in glycaemic usual care for children with type 1 diabetes in England and Wales: A population-based, multilevel analysis. *Diabet Med* **34**: 1710–18
- Cook RD (1977) Detection of influential observations in linear regression. *Technometrics* **19**: 15–18
- Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT)/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Study Research Group (2016) Intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular outcomes in type 1 diabetes: The DCCT/EDIC Study 30-Year Follow-up. *Diabetes Care* **39**: 686–93
- Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT; 1993) The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. DCCT Research Group. *N Engl J Med* **329**: 977–86
- DiMeglio LA et al (2018) Glycemic usual care targets and glucose monitoring for children, adolescents and young adults with diabetes. *ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines* **19**: 105–14
- Harris M and Taylor G (2014) Medical statistics made easy (6<sup>th</sup> Edition) Scion Publishing Ltd, Banbury
- Lachin JM et al (2000). Retinopathy and nephropathy in patients with type 1 diabetes four years after a trial of intensive therapy. *N Engl J Med* **342**: 381–9
- Lawton J, Waugh N, Noyes K et al (2015). Improving communication and recall of information in paediatric diabetes consultations: a qualitative study of parents' experiences and views. *BMC Pediatric* **15**:67
- Lange K, Swift P, Pakowska E, Danne T; International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes (2014) ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2014. Diabetes education in children and adolescents. *Pediatr Diabetes* 15: Suppl 20: 77–85
- NICE (2004) Diagnosis and management of type 1 diabetes in children, young people and adults [CG15]. NICE, London. Available at: www.nice.org.uk/CG15